CL	FILED ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DEC 1 9 2022
CEN.	TRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED	STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. 2:22-MJ-04943					
	Plaintiff, v. SAAVEDRA, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)))					
		I.					
A. ()	On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:						
	1. () a crime of violence;						
	2. () an offense with a maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death;						
	3. () a narcotics or controll ten or more years;	led substance offense with a maximum sentence of					
	4. () any felony - where to prior offenses describe	he defendant has been convicted of two or more ed above;					
	5. () any felony that is not	otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor					
	victim, or possession	or use of a firearm or destructive device or any					
	other dangerous weapo	on, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.					

1	B. (2	X) On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case allegedly					
2			involv	ing:			
3			1. (X)	ı	a serious risk that the defendant will flee;		
4			2. ()		a serious risk that the defendant will:		
5				a. ()	obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice;		
6				b.()	threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or		
7					attempt to do so.		
8	C.	The Government (X) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no					
9			condition	on or	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's		
10			appeara	ance as	s required and the safety of any person or the community.		
11							
12					II.		
13	A.	(X)	The Co	ourt fir	nds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably		
14			assure:	:			
15			1.	(X)	the appearance of the defendant as required.		
16				(X)	and/or		
17			2.	(X)	the safety of any person or the community.		
18	B.	()	The Co	ourt fir	nds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the		
19			contrar	ry the p	presumption provided by statute.		
20							
21					III.		
22		The Court has considered:					
23	A.	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged;					
24	В.	the weight of evidence against the defendant;					
25	C.	the history and characteristics of the defendant; and					
26	D.	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.					
27							
28							

IV. 1 2 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report and 3 recommendation. 4 5 6 V. 7 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 8 A. (X) The history and characteristics of the defendant indicate a serious risk that 9 the defendant will flee, because the defendant: 10 has a criminal record that reflects prior failures to appear; and 11 no bail resources have been proffered to mitigate the risk of flight. 12 В. (X) The defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons or the community 13 because of: 14 the nature and seriousness of the allegations in this case; and 15 the allegations suggest that the defendant presents a serious economic 16 danger to the community. 17 VI. 18 () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will: A. 19 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 20 2. () attempt to/ () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror. 21 22 23 VII. 24 A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial. 25 В. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the 26 Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent 27 practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody 28 pending appeal.

- C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: December 19, 2022

KAREN L. STEVENSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE